UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,974	03/24/2004	Charles C. Hart	A-3124-AL	6970
	PPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
22872 Avenida Empresa			MEHTA, BHISMA	
Kancho Santa N	Margarita, CA 92688		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/807,974	HART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BHISMA MEHTA	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Oc</u>	stobor 2008					
	action is non-final.					
·=		ecoution as to the	morito io			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-19,27-33 and 39-7</u>		ration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,20-26 and 34-38</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,		` '			
TT) The datifor declaration is objected to by the Ex-	animer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTHER	10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
i apei ivo(ə)riviali bate	o, 🗀 oulet					

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on October 7 2008. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 20-24, and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lafontaine (U.S. Patent No. 6,520,939). Lafontaine disclose a surgical access device having an elongate tubular member (110), a septum seal (140) formed at the distal end of the tubular member, and a zero seal (130) disposed at the distal end of the tubular member and distal to the septum seal. The zero seal is coupled to the septum seal and has properties to float with the septum seal relative to the tubular member. As to claim 2, see lines 5-20 of column 3. As to claims 3 and 4, the zero seal is a duckbill seal with an intersecting sealing portion (134A) or a double duckbill seal with two or more intersecting sealing portions (134B). As to claims 5 and 6, see Figure 3. As to claims 7-9, see lines 6-22 of column 4. The device also has a placement device (14, 40, 50). As to claim 21, the placement device is an obturator. As to claim 22, the placement device includes an elongate shaft with a proximal end, a mid-portion,

Art Unit: 3767

and a distal end. As to claim 23, the proximal end of the elongate shaft has a handle and the mid-portion of the elongate shaft has a reduced profile (see Figure 1). As to claim 34, the seal has opposing lip portions (132) separated by a slit portion. As to claims 35 and 36, see lines 31-46 of column 4. As to claims 37 and 38, the lip portions are capable of allowing a surgical item such as a surgical suture to extend through the slit portion without disrupting a seal formed by the closure of the opposing lip portions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lafontaine in view of Green et al (U.S. Patent 6,497,716). Lafontaine discloses the device substantially as claimed. However, Lafontaine is silent on the specifics of the distal end of the placement device being shaped like an hourglass or comprising a tapered, cone-shaped member. Green et al disclose a placement device (22) which is used to place an access device (14) where the distal end of the placement device is shaped like an hourglass and has a tapered, cone-shaped member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the placement device of Lafontaine with the placement device of Green et al as both Lafontaine and Green et al disclose surgical access devices and placement

Art Unit: 3767

devices for placing the access devices and Green et al disclose that it is well known to use a placement device having a distal end shaped like an hourglass and a tapered, cone-shaped member to place the access device.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the withdrawal of claims 53-72 filed October 7 2008 have been fully considered but the arguments are not persuasive. Claims 53-72 are drawn to a device having a seal at the distal end of the tubular member where the seal is sized and configured to form a seal with an instrument when an instrument is in place within the working channel of the tubular member. In the cited portions of the specification which describe the elected species (Species A – Figures 6-10), there is no disclosure of a seal being sized and configured to form a seal with an instrument when an instrument is in place within the working channel of the tubular member. There is disclosure that a large instrument substantially fills the lumen of the device and substantially deforms the first seal and the second seal. This is not considered to be disclosure of a seal being sized and configured to form a seal with an instrument when an instrument is in place within the working channel. Furthermore, there is disclosure of the first seal as comprising a septum that is sized and configured to seal in conjunction with a specific range of usable instruments. However, this is not considered to be disclosure of a seal sized and configured to form a seal with an instrument when an instrument is in place within the working channel and to form a zero Art Unit: 3767

seal when no instrument is in place within the working channel of the tubular member because the septum has not been disclosed as forming a zero seal.

7. Applicant's arguments filed October 7 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments in lines 1-5 of page 29 are not deemed persuasive as the Lafontaine disclose a septum seal (140) formed at the distal end of the tubular member (110). The septum seal of Lafontaine meets the structural limitations of the claimed septum seal. Furthermore, Applicant's arguments in lines 5-14 of page 29 are not persuasive because the gasket configurations of Figures 2A and 2B and the related description of these figures have not been used as a basis for the rejection. The septum seal of Lafontaine as seen in Figure 5A and 5B is the claimed septum seal.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3767

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BHISMA MEHTA whose telephone number is (571)272-3383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bhisma Mehta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767